

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/846,766	04/30/2001	James Talaric	4-01	4613
23713 75	590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C 4875 PEARL EAST CIRCLE			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200 BOULDER, CO 80301			1711	
,			75 4 TT 4 4 4 15 C15. DE 107 5700	<b>-</b>

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/846,766	TALARIC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the (	corresponaence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reful of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, a reful or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	February 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Tr	<u> </u>					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29,46 and 47 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29, 46 & 47 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner. Note the attached Office	FACION OF TOMIN F 10-132.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the international Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) A Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948).</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	late Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/846,766

Art Unit: 4818 1711

- 1. An RCE has been filed on Feb 16, 2005.
- 2. Claims being examined are still the same viz. 1-29, 46 & 47.
- 3. Prior to this office action, the examiner had an interview with James Barber (Inventor) and Ellen Winner (Attorney). Summary of that interview is attached herewith.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9-11 are vague in reciting "polymer mix" because the precise scope of these claims cannot be ascertained.

- 6. Rejection of claims 1-3, 5 and 9-11 under 35 USC 102 (b) (see earlier office action of May 06, 2004, item 5) is now withdrawn.
- 7. Claims 1-29, 46 & 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graefe (US 5002475) in view of Kennedy et al (US 6290614) and Hirai et al (US 4367307).

Please refer to earlier office action of Jul 22, 2003, item 9 for this rejection.

Instant claims are not limited to a mannequin though instant specification refers to a form with specific reference to a mannequin. It is true that Graefe discloses an article made using injection molding and not rotational molding as in instant claim 2. It

Application/Control Number: 09/846,766

Art Unit: 4576 1711

is the examiner's position that claim 2 encompasses a product-by-a process and it is immaterial which process is used in prior art, to make that product as long as the product is disclosed by the prior art, unless it is proved that the product of the prior art is patentably distinguishable from the claimed one.

Instant claim 1 has been amended by including the limitation of instant claim 6.

Prior art does not expressly disclose this limitation. Nonetheless since prior art discloses the claimed form or article made from claimed raw materials, it is reasonable to assume, unless proved to be otherwise, that prior art satisfies this limitation.

It is also obvious to prepare an article having different shapes and sizes, such as a mannequin (of claim 47) which can be hollow (of claim 46).

Since Graefe teaches mixing of colorants and other ingredients, it is reasonable to infer that the mixing is done uniformly throughout the mass. It is also obvious to use a pigment or a dye of a certain hardness to optimize the appearance and texture of surface of the molded article. It is also obvious (a) to adjust abrasion resistance of molded article so that any protruding material or flash can be removed by grinding with a sand paper (instant claim 12), (b) to adjust the composition to achieve a certain impact strength (instant claim 13) and (c) to adjust physical properties (of instant claims 14-23).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umakant k. Rajguru whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 09/846,766

Art Unit: 4546 17/1

272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday and 9:30a.m-6:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajguru/tgd

April 26, 2005

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examinar
Technology Center 1700